RECEIVED
FEUERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

Perkins Coie

2008 SEP 12 P 1:51

607 Fourteenth Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-2011 PHONE: 202.628.6600 PAX: 202.434.1690 www.perkinscoie.com

September 4, 2008

Ms. Kim Collins
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463

Re: MUR 6026

Dear Ms. Collins

5.00%

• 50

On behalf of the Nevada Democratic Party ("Respondent"), this letter is submitted in response to the complaint filed by Michael Zahara ("the Complaint") and subsequently labeled MUR no. 6026. A Statement of Designation of Counsel is attached. This Complaint alleges no violation of federal election law by Respondent and should be immediately dismissed.

The Commission may find "reason to believe" only if a complaint sets forth sufficient specific facts, which, if proven true, would constitute a violation. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 111.4(a), (c) (2008). Unwarranted legal conclusions from asserted facts or mere speculation will not be accepted as true, and provide no independent basis for investigation. See Commissioners Mason, Sandstrom, Smith and Thomas, Statement of Reasons, MUR 4960 (Dec. 21, 2001). Moreover, complaints must allege a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, or chapter 95 or 96 of title 26. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) (2008).

While the Complaint is far from clear, it alleges that Janet Churchill, the Treasurer of the Nevada State Democratic Party, is receiving payment from the state party, and receiving reimbursement for travel expenses. Neither of these facts is a violation of any law. The complaint does not allege that Ms. Churchill is being paid in excess of the work performed, or that her travel expenses were not in connection with the Nevada State Democratic Party. And indeed, her salary is commensurate with her duties, and her only travel expenses were incurred for travel in connection with a training provided by the Federal Election Commission.

The Complaint's allegation that "Ms. Churchill's conduct leaves an indelible impression of embezzlement of county and party funds" is a scurrilous falsehood; moreover, it identifies "no source of information that reasonably gives rise to a belief in the truth of the allegations presented." See Statement of Reasons, MUR 4960.

Finally, the accusations regarding violations of the state party charter and bylaws and of the Rules of the House of Representatives, while false, are outside the jurisdiction of the Commission. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

In short, this Complaint sets forth no facts to allege that Respondent violated any law, much less any federal election law. Because the Complaint alleges no such violations and because it relies entirely on conclusory allegations, it should be immediately dismissed.

Very truly yours,

Ezze W. Reese

Counsel to the Nevada Democratic Party



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Weehington, DC 20468

STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL Floors was one form for each Remaindent/Client. FAX (200) 219-2022

MUR #_	<u>1026</u>
NAME OF	BZTA W. Reese
FIRM:	Perkins Coie LLP
ADDRES	607 14th ST MW, Suite 800
	Washington, DC 20005
•	TELEPHONE- OFFICE (202) 434-1616
	PAX (202) 654-9109
Th	s above-named individual and/or firm is hereby designated as my
from the	and is authorized to receive any notifications and other communications. Commission and to act on my behalf before the Commission.
9/2/00	Respondent Olient Signature Title
Date	Respondent/Olient Signature Title
RESPONI (Places P	DENT/CLIENTNewada percoratio Party rint)
MAILING ADDRESS	409 Horn Street
	Las Vegas, NY 98107
	YELEPHONE-HOME ()
	puemass ()
information	is being equalit so part of an investigation being constructed by the Federal Section
Countedus Problès III	rund this confidentially provident of 2 U.S.O. § 487y(n)(12)(4) apply. This scatter using public any investigation conducted by the Poderal Election Commission without